Evaluation of the effects of acoustic wave therapy on keloids
Avaliação dos efeitos da terapia por ondas acústicas em queloides
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ABSTRACT
Introduction: Acoustic wave therapy stimulates tissue regeneration and healing processes. Therefore, it is expected that this treatment modality might have effects on scars.
Objective: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of acoustic wave therapy in the improvement of keloids.
Methods: An open, prospective, monocentric study was carried out with 20 participants with clinical diagnosis of keloid. Weekly sessions of acoustic wave therapy were performed, for eight weeks. Participants were assessed at baseline and at 1 and 12 weeks after the end of the treatment. The investigators took measurements of elasticity and performed clinical evaluations based on the Vancouver Scars Scale. At the end of the treatment the participants’ satisfaction with the treatment was evaluated.
Results: After the treatment, the percentage of participants with keloid thickness between 2mm and 5mm decreased to 47% (from 71%), increasing the percentage of participants with reduced keloid thickness in the total sample (<2mm, to 41% from 24%). Some participants also had improvement in the keloids’ vascularization and pliability. No treatment-related adverse events have been reported.
Conclusions: Acoustic wave treatment is safe and can be effective in the improvement of the keloid’s functionality and some clinical aspects.
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RESUMO
Introdução: A terapia por ondas acústicas estimula os processos de regeneração e recuperação dos tecidos. Nesse sentido, espera-se que esse equipamento possa atuar em cicatrizes.
Objetivo: Avaliar a eficácia e segurança da terapia por ondas acústicas na melhora de queloides.
Métodos: Estudo aberto, prospectivo, unicêntrico que incluiu 20 participantes com diagnóstico clínico de queixoide. Foram realizadas oito sessões de terapia por ondas acústicas, uma por semana, durante oito semanas. Os participantes foram avaliados no momento basal e uma e 12 semanas após o término do tratamento. Medidas de elasticidade e avaliação clínica pela Escala de Cicatrizes de Vancouver foram realizadas. Ao final do tratamento foi observada a satisfação dos participantes com o tratamento.
Resultados: Após o tratamento, a percentagem de participantes com espessura do queloiode entre dois e 5mm caiu de 71% para 47%, aumentando a percentagem de participantes com espessura menor do queloiode na amostra total (<2mm, de 24% para 41%). Os aspectos da vascularização e flexibilidade também apresentaram melhora em alguns participantes. Não foram relatados eventos adversos relacionados ao tratamento.
Conclusões: O tratamento por ondas acústicas é seguro e pode ser eficaz na melhora funcional de lesões de queloides e de alguns aspectos clínicos da lesão.
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INTRODUCTION

Acoustic wave therapy (AWT®) is a non-invasive technique that relies on the mechanical stimulation of tissues by acoustic waves. This method originated from extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy used in urology. For cosmetic purposes, much lower intensity is applied, thus it stimulates the healing and regeneration processes while avoiding tissue destruction.1

Acoustic waves stimulate cells, increase microcirculation and local metabolism, and trigger the release of signaling substances.1 When applied over the scar tissue, they seem to promote scar remodeling through the rupture of collagen fibers. The AWT® has been used in the treatment of connective tissue fibrosis, such as Peyronie’s disease, burns and hypertrophic scars.2,3 The results obtained in the treatment of fibrosis and scarring suggest that this technique may also be effective in the treatment of keloids.

Hypertrophic scars and keloids result from the excessive growth of dense fibrous tissue, which may take place after surgical incision or injuries caused by trauma.4 Whereas hypertrophic scars are characterized by remaining restricted to the borders of the original injury, presenting its shape, keloids grow beyond the borders of the original wound, and are usually symptomatic, associated with pruritus, pain and pulling sensation. In general, they do not resolve spontaneously.5

Different treatment modalities have already been tested for keloids, such as surgical resection, radiation, lasers,6 radiofrequency,7 superficial or intralesional cryotherapy,8 application of pressure,4 and intralesional injections.9 Several actives have been used in intralesional treatment as monotherapy or in combination with other treatments or actives. Triamcinolone acetonide,10-14 bleomycin sulphate,15,16 and verapamil17,18 are some of the most studied treatments. Despite this range of therapeutic modalities, there is no consensus on which is the best approach for the treatment of keloids.4

The present study evaluated the efficacy and safety of AWT® for the improvement of keloids.

METHODOLOGY

An open, prospective, monocentric study was conducted from 2013 to 2016 at the Brazilian Center for Studies in Dermatology, in Porto Alegre, Brazil. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Moinhos de Vento Hospital Association. All the subjects signed an Informed Consent prior to their inclusion in the study.

Subjects

Twenty healthy subjects aged between 18 and 60 years and with keloid were included in the study.

The main inclusion criterion was to have at least one keloid lesion measuring at least 2 cm² in any part of the body, except for the face and areas of bone prominence. The main exclusion criteria were: pregnancy, lactation or intention to become pregnant during the study period, inflammation or active infection in the study area, and having undergone any treatment for keloids in the six weeks previous the study start.

Treatment protocol

All the subjects followed the same protocol, composed by 1 session per week for 8 weeks, totaling 8 sessions. The minimum interval between sessions was 5 days.

The AWT® was performed with C-Actor® handpiece of Cellactor SC1 (Storz Medical AG, Switzerland), which is a high-intensity electromagnetic system that generates planar acoustic waves. The treatment was applied to one keloid per subject, with back and forth movements and slight pressure. Each treatment session consisted of 250 (± 10%) pulses per cm², with energy varying from 0.56 to 0.88 mJ/cm², as recommended by the manufacturer. A long standoff made of silicone was used to concentrate the energy of the equipment, and a layer of ultrasound gel was applied after cleansing the skin for better contact and transmission of energy. All treatment sessions were performed by trained professionals.

Evaluations

Each subject attended to 3 evaluation visits: baseline (T0), 1 week after the end of the 8-session treatment (T1) and 12 weeks after (T2). At the baseline visit (T0), the investigators carried out a clinical and physical evaluation, verified the inclusion and exclusion criteria, reviewed the patient’s medical history and medications use, and assessed the keloid elasticity and its classification according to the Vancouver Scars Scale.19 Women of childbearing potential underwent a urinary pregnancy test.

Clinical and keloid evaluation, in addition to the verification of possible adverse events, were performed in all visits after the treatment. All evaluations were performed by a dermatologist.

The Vancouver Scar Scale (VSS)

The primary outcome was defined as the clinical improvement of keloid lesions assessed by the Vancouver Scars Scale,10— a validated scale to evaluate scars (Table 1).

Elasticity measurements

The elasticity of the keloid lesion was evaluated at all visits with the assistance of the Cutometer® MPA 580 device (Courage-Khazaka, Germany). The R5 parameter — which indicates the variation of skin elasticity — was defined as the evaluation parameter. It varies between 0 and 1 (the closer to 1, the more elastic is the skin).

Measurements were taken at the midpoint of each lesion (treated area), and on the internal part of the right forearm, at the midpoint between the wrist and the antecubital fossa medial line, on the ventral surface (control-area).

Patient satisfaction questionnaire

In all follow up visits after the end of the last session, the subjects answered a satisfaction questionnaire. They were asked to describe their perception about the improvement of pain and discomfort when moving the treated region, and about the improvement in the appearance of the scar using the following ratings: significantly improved, improved, slightly improved, unchanged, slightly worsened, worsened, significantly worsened, or not applicable (when no pain or discomfort was perceived in the keloid area). In addition, subjects answered whether they were satisfied with the treatment and whether they would undergo it again.
Statistical analysis

Demographic data were presented descriptively for the intention-to-treat population. The outcomes of the evaluations considered the population per protocol. Categorical variables were presented as percentages and quantitative variables as mean values ± standard deviation. Differences in the elasticity and in the final mean value of the scars scale were tested with ANOVA for repeated measures.

RESULTS

Twenty-two subjects were evaluated, but two did not start the treatment, and were therefore excluded from data evaluation. Of the 20 enrolled subjects, 17 completed the study, and three were unavailable to complete the study: 2 withdrew during the treatment period, and 1 after the follow-up visit at the end of the last session.

The mean age of the subjects was 37.8 ± 12.4 years (range: 19-61), and most were women. Half of the subjects were Fitzpatrick phototypes II and III, while the other half were phototypes IV and V. The demographic data of the 20 subjects who started the treatment are described in Table 2.

Graphs 1a-d show the proportion of subjects at each visit, for each Vancouver Scar Scale scoring item. Keloid height varied from 2mm to 5mm for most of the subjects (71%, n = 12) at baseline. After 8 treatment sessions, less than half of the subjects (47%, n = 8) still presented keloids height between 2mm and 5mm, and the proportion of subjects presenting keloid height less than 2mm increased from 24% (n = 4) at baseline to 41% (n = 7) after the end of the treatment. One subject who had a keloid height of less than 2mm at the baseline presented a flat lesion after treatment.

Vascularization did not normalize in any case, either before or after the end of the treatment. Pink coloration was observed in 41% of the subjects at baseline, increasing to 59% in the post-treatment follow-up evaluations. The proportion of subjects who presented reddish coloration decreased from 35% at baseline to 24% in the post-treatment follow-up evaluations, and purpuric coloration decreased from 24% to 18%. Present in most subjects at baseline (76%; n = 13), hyperpigmentation decreased to 65% (n = 11) and 71% (n = 12) in subsequent evaluations.

The pliability of the keloid was graded as contracture in 24% (n = 4) of the subjects at baseline, in 12% (n = 2) one week after treatment and in 6% (n = 1) 12 weeks after treatment. The proportion of keloid lesions considered yielding and supple increased from 29% and 6%, respectively, to 35% and 12% one week after treatment, and to 41% and 12% 12 weeks after treatment.

The mean total VSS score presented a statistically significant reduction in the follow up evaluations when compared to the baseline value (Graph 2). Nevertheless, only a slight improvement could be perceived by visual observation (Figure 3A and B).

The skin elasticity values in the keloid lesion and in the control-area, assessed with Cutometer®, are described in Table 3.
No statistically significant difference was observed in the results obtained before and after treatment in both areas.

Among the subjects who completed the study, 76.5% considered the treatment protocol improved the keloid’s appearance. The same proportion of subjects was satisfied with the treatment, and 82.4% would undergo the procedure again. At the beginning of the study, 14 subjects had discomfort when moving the area affected by the keloid, and 11 subjects had pain. After treatment, 12 (85.7%) reported improvement in discomfort and 9 (81.8%) reported improvement in pain.

No treatment-related adverse events have been reported. Two subjects presented adverse events, both not related to their participation in the study.

**DISCUSSION**

The treatment of keloid is challenging, with limited therapeutic options capable to reach positive outcomes in the aesthetical appearance of the lesion. In the present study, the authors evaluated the effects of a protocol comprising eight sessions of acoustic wave therapy to improve the clinical appearance of keloid lesions in different areas of the body.

The proportion of patients presenting with more severe degrees of keloid height, vascularity, and pliability decreased after treatment, as did the mean of the overall VSS score. However, the clinical improvement was poor from the aesthetic point of view. The photographic and visual evaluations did not show clearly clinical improvement in the appearance of the treated lesions (Figure 3A and B).

Even though no significant aesthetic improvement was obtained in the treated lesions, it was possible to observe a high proportion of subjects satisfied with the treatment. Studies evaluating the efficacy of other treatment modalities for keloid, such as bleomycin sulphate and intralesional corticosteroid injections, have also showed a high percentage of satisfied patients. Improvement in discomfort and pain due to movement was observed in more than 80% of the subjects who reported these symptoms at baseline. Manca et al. observed pain reduction in up to 94% of the patients evaluated in their study. They con-
considered that satisfaction is mainly linked to the improvement in the clinical symptoms of pain and discomfort under movement. Another hypothesis is that the high satisfaction of the subjects was linked to the low expectations regarding the effectiveness of the treatments for this condition.

The evaluation of skin elasticity using an elastometer did not indicate significant changes in the keloid area after the treatment as compared to pre-treatment conditions. Draaijers et al. described the validity of this methodology for the evaluation of elasticity in scars. Van Leeuwen et al. reported a 57% improvement in the elasticity of successfully treated lesions. However, they observed worsening of 50% in recurrent lesions.

### CONCLUSIONS

The present study showed that AWT® is safe and effective in the functional improvement of keloids. The treatment did not significantly change keloid scars, since no lesion completely disappeared or changed types (for example, from keloid to hypertrophic scar). Improvements in keloid height, vascularity and pliability were observed in a proportion of patients after the 8-week session protocol. Comparative studies with larger samples or even in association with other procedures may provide additional data on the effectiveness of this treatment, also for other types of scars.

### TABLE 3: Cutometer® R5 parameter, which indicates the skin's elasticity change (mean ± standard error)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T0</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>P*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keloid</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.44 n = 17</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.38 n = 17</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.45 n = 17</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control area</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.31</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.38</td>
<td>&gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ANOVA for repeated measures.

**Figure 3:** Clinical appearance of the keloid in the left scapular region (A) at baseline and (B) at 12 weeks after the end of the treatment, showing slight clinical improvement in keloid height.
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