Skin barrier in atopic dermatitis: the importance of an appropriate cleansing agent

Barreira cutânea na dermatite atópica: o valor de um limpador adequado

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Skin xerosis commonly occurs in atopic dermatitis, promoting pruritus and inflammation. Hygiene with syndets (synthetic detergents) is gentle and preserves the skin barrier.

Objective: To evaluate the skin’s tolerance, and improvement of the xerosis, with the use of a shower gel specially developed for atopic skin.

Methods: Thirty-three patients (18 to 50-years-old) were evaluated during four weeks, when using exclusively this product. Hydration, transdermal water loss, and skin surface pH measurements were taken from the forearm at baseline and after a single application, and were compared to the untreated control area (contralateral forearm).

Results: All patients completed the study and there were no adverse reactions. Higher levels of hydration and a reduction of transdermal water loss were verified in the area washed with the shower gel when compared to the area washed with pure water. Significant improvement was observed in the pruritus and skin smoothness, and there was less irritation and dryness after continued use.

Conclusions: A cleansing process using a syndet gel was demonstrated as capable of preserving not only the skin’s integrity, but also the skin barrier in atopic patients, in addition to improving clinical signs and symptoms related to xerosis, such as dryness and pruritus.
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RESUMO

Introdução: A xerose cutânea, comum na dermatite atópica, favorece o prurido e a inflamação. A higiene com tensoativos syndet é suave e preserva a barreira cutânea.

Objetivo: Avaliar a tolerância e melhora da xerose da pele com gel de banho especialmente desenvolvido para a pele atópica.

Métodos: Avaliaram-se 33 pacientes entre 18 e 50 anos, durante quatro semanas de uso exclusivo; medidas de hidratação, perda de água transepidérmica e pH da superfície cutânea foram feitas no antebraço ao início do estudo, e, após aplicação única, a área foi comparada com a que não foi tratada (antebraço contralateral).

Resultados: Todos os pacientes terminaram o estudo; não houve reações adversas. Foram constatados maiores níveis de hidratação e redução da perda de água transepidérmica na área lavada com o gel de banho em comparação à área lavada com água pura, observando-se melhora significativa no tempo de duração de prurido, bem como na maciez da pele, além de menor irritação e ressecamento após o uso continuado.

Conclusões: A higienização com gel syndet demonstrou não somente preservar a integridade da barreira cutânea no paciente atópico, como também a melhora clínica de sintomas e sinais relacionados à xerose, tais como ressecamento e prurido.

Palavras-chave: dermatite atópica; higiene da pele; prurido; terapêutica.
INTRODUCTION

Atopic dermatitis is an inflammatory dermatosis in which the skin barrier’s primary defect supports the continuity of the pathophysiology, making it more susceptible to pruritus, xerosis, and secondary infections.1 If not treated, xerosis can also exacerbate atopic dermatitis, as well as other inflammatory dermatoses. The defect in the skin barrier favors the release of inflammatory cytokines, which in turn trigger dermal inflammatory process, causing or worsening dermatitis. With increased transepidermal water loss resulting from this process, and with the increased contact with irritants and allergens, there is deterioration of the skin barrier’s function, perpetuating the damage.2

In this scenario, any measure that can preserve and restore the skin barrier must be taken. Appropriate cleansing combined with the use of moisturizers stabilizes the barrier function, limiting the conditions that favor irritation and helping to reduce the use of topical steroids, which disrupt the skin barrier’s function in the long run, and predisposing it to infections.3 In regards to the cleansing process, it is important to establish a balance between removal residues and impurities, keeping the skin barrier and surface pH significantly unchanged, a fundamental condition for the equilibrium of the microflora (which helps in the prevention of infections).4,5 The normal pH of untouched skin is slightly acidic, between 5.5 and 6.5.6 Maintaining the pH level in this range involves the degradation of filaggrin into amino acids, which occurs during the keratinization process. It results in the formation of urocanic acid and induces the presence of fatty acids derived from NMF (natural moisturizing factor) and sebaceous glands, which are also produced by the lipases of normal microflora.7,8

In atopic patients, there is a trend towards increased pH levels. This phenomenon is caused by the reduction of proteolysis in the filaggrin, and is associated with altered synthesis of free fatty acids by sebaceous glands and epidermal phospholipids, which entails an increase in pH, particularly in the areas with lesions.9

This increase in the pH of the cutaneous surface is an important factor in alterations to the local microflora, causing a tendency towards infection by Staphylococcus aureus.10 There is evidence that at least 30% of patients with atopic dermatitis have a genetic deficit in the synthesis of filaggrin, loricrin, and ceramide, as well as of antimicrobial peptides.11 In this context, surfactants are of paramount importance, given that they are responsible for the emulsification of lipids in the skin’s surface. Syndets (synthetic detergents) have a milder degreasing action, with a neutral or slightly acidic pH, and do not promote the alcalinization of the cutaneous surface.12,13

Syndets have the least amount of surfactants (surface active agents), which are compounds responsible for the adsorption of impurities on the surface, forming micelles.14 Some studies suggest clinical improvement of eczematous lesions through continuous cleansing with the use of syndets, including in children.15,16

There is an absence of studies on the behavior of atopic skin with regard to its cleansing with the use of products specifically developed for this purpose. The present study was aimed at evaluating the tolerance and impact on the symptoms of atopic dermatitis when exposed to a skin cleanser specially developed for atopic skin, measuring its effects on hydration, pH, and the skin barrier through measurements of transepidermal water loss.

METHODS

A prospective, controlled study was conducted in June and July 2011, at a private clinical research laboratory (Medcin Instituto da Pele), in the city of Osasco (SP), Brazil. The study population consisted of 33 male and female adult patients, between the ages of 18- and 50-years-old, with a clinical diagnosis of mild atopic dermatitis (according to Hanifin and Rajka criteria) without active lesions at the time of admission, and who hadn’t used medication for up to four weeks prior to inclusion.

Patients with acute eczematous dermatitis who required immediate medicament-based therapy, or who were under the use of oral or topical corticosteroids, as well as any kind of topical or systemic medication that could influence the inflammatory response, were not included.

After signing the free and informed term of consent, all participants were clinically evaluated at baseline for the parameter cutaneous xerosis, according to a five-point scale where: 1 = very xerotic skin; and 5 = hydrated skin with no sign of xerosis. Following the clinical examination, biophysical measurements were collected in order to assess the product’s behavior regarding its effects on the skin barrier through hydration, transepidermal water loss, and cutaneous surface pH. The following equipment was used:

• Measurement of the stratum corneum’s hydration: Corneometer® MPA 580 (Courage & Khazaka, Germany). The device gauges the electrical capacitance of the epidermis. Higher measured values indicate greater volumes of epidermal water.

• Measurement of transepidermal water loss: Tewameter® (Courage & Khazaka, Germany). It gauges the state of the barrier integrity regarding its capacity to retain water. Higher measured values indicate worse states of barrier integrity.

• Measurement of the pH levels of the skin’s surface: Phmeter® (Courage & Khazaka, Germany). The scale varies from 1 to 14, with lower values indicating higher acidity and higher values suggesting greater alkalinity.

After a single application of the product on the forearm—by washing the evaluated area according to the product’s use instructions—new corneometric and transepidermal water loss (TEWL) measurements were taken immediately after the washing process and then every hour for four hours. The pH measures were taken just before and again 15 minutes after the cleansing process. The contralateral forearm was used as the control area for all measurements taken, being washed in the same manner, however in this case only water was used.

All measurements were taken at room temperature and controlled humidity (24±2°C and 50 + 5%, respectively), with the average of three measurements being recorded and used for
the purposes of the study. These measurements were performed in order to assess the immediate impact of a single cleansing procedure with the shower gel.

**Evaluation under use conditions**

At the end of the instrumental evaluation, the volunteers were given a standardized bottle containing 400ml of facial and body cleanser gel (Nutratopic® emollient shower gel, Isdin, São Paulo SP, Brazil) and instructed to apply once a day at home, during bathing, for 28 +2 days.

At the end of the study, subjective questionnaires were distributed to the participants for the evaluation of the following items: cleansing without drying, cleansing without irritation, improvement of pruritus, improvement of dryness and smoothness. The study protocol and the free and informed term of consent were previously approved by the Independent Ethics Committee.

**RESULTS**

All patients (N = 33) reached the end of the study. No adverse reaction was mentioned or detected in the clinical examination of the group treated with the emollient shower gel.

**Instrumental assessment of the skin barrier**

The following graphs illustrate the behavior of the mean of the instrumental measurements for the evaluated group after a single application of the emollient shower gel. (Graph 1)

A significant improvement of corneometric levels was verified after a single cleansing procedure, within up to four hours after the application, when compared to the control (p = 0.004). (Graph 2)

There were significant time reductions (by one hour, p = 0.0001 and two hours, p = 0.0052) for Nutratopic® Shower Gel as compared to the control, evidencing the cutaneous barrier’s restorative effect. (Graph 3)

The variation of pH occurred within physiological parameters, with no significant difference when compared to the control (p <0.05).

**Statistical evaluation**

All data were statistically analyzed through a Student’s t-test, with a 5% significance level.

**Clinical evaluation**

Of the 33 patients evaluated in the treated group, none developed eczematous pattern lesions during the evaluation period. None of the patients presented a picture of a clinical worsening of the atopic dermatitis. Cutaneous xerosis: there was an improvement in the score used to measure xerosis. At baseline, the average scores obtained for xerosis was 2.9. Twenty-eight days after, the average of the scores rose to 4, suggesting a significant improvement in the clinical assessment (roughly 66%, p <0.01).

**Subjective evaluation**

The subjective evaluation suggested significant improvement over time for all parameters assessed in the treated group, as shown in Chart 1.
DISCUSSION

Alterations in the cutaneous barrier are typical findings in atopic dermatitis, even in clinically uninjured skin. There is increased transepidermal water loss and lower water levels in the epidermis, which contribute to the perpetuation of the inflammatory picture, because it favors pruritus and secondary infections due to the elevation of the pH. The cleansing of atopic skin is a concern in the therapeutic approach. The dilapidation of the cutaneous surface during bathing increases the damage to the barrier, worsening the pruritus. Emollients often do not readily act on the recovery of the barrier and cannot completely prevent pruritus. Corticosteroids, in turn, increase the damage to the cutaneous barrier, inducing a vicious cycle.

For ethical reasons, the study was conducted on patients with mild atopic dermatitis without active lesions. Such patients many times do not use emollients routinely, which often undermines the prevention of recurrences. Patients in the present study had xerotic skin, with levels of around 44 corneometric units, as compared to eudermic skin, which often has above 55 corneometric units. The use of the studied syndet-based cleanser allowed the maintenance of previous hydration levels. With statistical significance, the data demonstrates that not only is there preservation of the cutaneous barrier, such as atopic dermatitis.

CONCLUSIONS

The preservation of the cutaneous barrier is a fundamental requisite in the treatment of atopic skin, in which xerosis may worsen with the use of common soaps. Cleansing with a syndet product, such as the shower gel analyzed in the present study, demonstrates that not only is there preservation of the integrity of parameters inherent to the cutaneous barrier, but there is also improvement in clinical signs and symptoms linked to xerosis, such as dryness and pruritus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjective evaluation</th>
<th>Intense/moderate improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Softer skin</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleansed without drying</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleansed without irritating</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved the pruritus</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved the dryness</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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